

Compression: From Idea → Execution

AI compresses the distance between idea and execution.

- Innovation used to require layers of scaffolding: people, tools, budget, time.
- Those layers didn't disappear – they got abstracted and compressed.

BEFORE VS AFTER COMPRESSION

Emphasize after



→



What Actually Compressed

Three buckets collapsed the most.

Technical scaffolding

Boilerplate, glue code, setup



Translation work

Business → Specs → Action



Time-to-feedback

Weeks → Hours → Cheap iteration

KEY POINT

AI compresses translation and iteration – not judgment.

Compression Is Not a Software Story

It compresses execution paths across roles.

ROLE	BEFORE	AFTER
 Accountant	ERP → Excel → Close	ERP ⇔ LLM
 Financial Planner	Data → Models → Slides	Prompt → Scenarios
 Lawyer	Manual clause review	Only risky clauses are reviewed.
 Data Analyst	SQL → BI → Deck	Prompt → Chart + narrative
 Junior Associate	Outline → PPT	Prompt → Slides → refine
 Software Engineer	Tickets → code → deploy	Prompt → scaffold → iterate

What Didn't Compress

The human system is still the product.

Adoption & change management

Behavior change, process rewiring, and rollout support still require deliberate leadership.

Literacy & trust

People need judgment skills and confidence calibration before they rely on AI output.

UX / design

Useful products still depend on clear workflows, feedback loops, and interaction design.

Organizational incentives

Teams optimize for what is rewarded, so incentive alignment remains the hardest system constraint.

When Execution Is Cheap, Judgment Becomes Scarce

The bottleneck moved.

BEFORE: EXECUTION BOTTLENECK

Ideas → Build → Execution

Execution speed limited delivery throughput.

AFTER: JUDGMENT BOTTLENECK

Ideas → AI options → Execution | Judgment

Execution accelerated, so judgment now limits value.

GENERATE VS EVALUATE

AI generates options. Humans evaluate context, ethics, feasibility.

Compression Changes Jobs

Execution got cheaper. Expectations got higher.

- Single-dimension roles lose protection.
- Interdisciplinary bandwidth becomes advantage.

BEFORE

🔗 Engineers hide behind complexity.

👑 Leaders hide behind title.



AFTER

🔗 Engineers **must explain.**

👑 Leaders **must build.**

The Entry-Level Paradox

AI accelerates execution faster than domain understanding can form.

- Experienced professionals with deep domain knowledge + AI tools become disproportionately powerful.
- Entry-level roles are not eliminated – they are redefined.
- Tool velocity without business context creates risk.
- Education must move faster from theory → application → business impact.

PARADOX

Tool velocity



HIGH

Domain reality



LOW

There is a mismatch.



FUTURE ENTRY-LEVEL ADVANTAGE

Fresh perspective and new mental models amplify domain experts with cutting-edge thinking.

Compression Makes Mistakes Faster

8 / 8

Speed amplifies wrong choices.

Copilot-at-scale without enablement

2023-2024: hundreds of CIOs (Fortune 100 and beyond) bought Microsoft Copilot licenses and checked the box – as if their GenAI strategy was 'done'.

Wrong AI for deterministic logic

A Fortune 100 telco used an expensive LLM to classify hundreds of millions of call logs – a problem better solved with classic ML.

No guardrails for prompt-injectable systems

A dealership chatbot was instructed to "agree to anything" and offered a 2024 Chevy Tahoe for \$1.

AI can't be accountable – humans are

Lawyers filed a brief citing non-existent cases generated by ChatGPT (Mata v. Avianca).